

REMARKS

The pending claims of the present application stand rejected in view of King (U.S. Patent Number 6,532,446), Dragosh et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,078,886, hereinafter "Dragosh"), and McAllister et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,101,242, hereinafter "McAllister"), as considered either individually or in combination. The Examiner's thoughtful and thorough reply in the *Response to Arguments* section of the present office action is appreciated. However, the applicants respectfully disagree with these rejections and request reconsideration. Nonetheless, the applicants have specifically amended the claims to more clearly highlight their distinctions from the prior art.

In claims 1, 7, 13, 19 and 22, voice recognition information is recited as comprising a context model and **training parameters** related to a voice of a user, wherein the training parameters comprise **indications of adjustments to voice phonemes** to account for characteristics of the voice of the user, to account for a process of speech encoding, or to account for audio-modifying characteristics of a wireless link between the wireless communication device and the wireless system infrastructure. Independent claims 1, 7, 13, 19 and 22, all describe the voice recognition information as being **stored in a wireless communication device** and then being **transmitted to the wireless system infrastructure**. The Examiner cites King (col. 5, lines 26-47) and Dragosh (col. 5, lines 25-57) as teaching context models and training parameters.

However, neither King nor Dragosh teach or suggest training parameters as claimed. King col. 5, lines 26-47 refers generically to user specific speech templates, language dictionaries, and lists of a user's frequently used words, while Dragosh col. 5, lines 25-57 refers generically to client grammars. While the applicants can see how one might interpret the teachings of King and Dragosh to suggest a context model, the applicants do not see a teaching or suggestion of training parameters that comprise **indications of adjustments to voice phonemes** to account for characteristics of the **voice of the user**, to account for a process of **speech encoding**, or to account for

audio-modifying characteristics of a **wireless link** between the wireless communication device and the wireless system infrastructure. The applicants submit that the specificity to which training parameters are described in the amended claims cannot be fairly attributed to the teachings of either King or Dragosh.

Since the references cited do not teach all of the limitations of base claims 1, 7, 13, 19 and 22, or therefore, all the limitations of their dependent claims, the applicants assert that the Examiner has not shown anticipation nor made a prima facie case for obviousness. No remaining grounds for rejection or objection being given, the applicant now respectfully submits that the claims in their present form are patentable over the prior art of record, and are in condition for allowance. As a result, allowance and issuance of this case is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned, if such communication would advance the prosecution of the present application. Lastly, please charge any additional fees (including extension of time fees) to Deposit Account No. 502117 – Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,
J. Gehrke et al.

By: 

Jeffrey K. Jacobs
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 44,798
Phone No.: 847/576-5562
Fax No.: 847/576-3750